

Hiroshima University Graduate Schools

Phoenix Leader Education Program (Hiroshima Initiative) for Renaissance from Radiation Disaster

E v a l u a t i o n R e p o r t

Dec. 2012

Table of Contents

I. Overview

1. Notably exceptional aspects are as follows.	P1
2. Aspects requiring improvement are as follows.	
3. Other aspects for which future improvement is desirable are as follows.	

II. Evaluation by criteria

Criterion 1: Purpose of the Program

Point: Does the purpose of the Phoenix Leader Education Program (Hiroshima Initiative) for Renaissance from Radiation Disaster (hereafter “the Program”) comply with the purpose of the Leading Program in Doctoral Education, sponsored by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT): fostering leaders who have a broad perspective and creativity and who will be active in global academic, industrial, and governmental arenas?	P3
--	----

Criterion 2: Implementation Structure

Point 2-①: Does the Program have guidance and student-support systems appropriate for achieving its purpose?	P4
Point 2-②: Does the Program have planning, operating, and partnership-building systems appropriate for achieving its purpose?	P4
Criterion 2: Implementation Structure Overall evaluation	P5

Criterion 3: Program Members and Education Supporters

Point 3-①: Does the Program have a clear policy to build an organization of faculty members? Does it clarify the responsibilities of respective members for education and research activities?	P6
Point 3-②: Does the Program have faculty members capable of achieving the purpose of the Program: to foster Phoenix Leaders, who will conduct interdisciplinary and integrated management of recovery programs in regions suffering from complex damage caused by radiation disasters?	P6
Criterion 3: Program Members and Education Supporters Overall evaluation	P7

Criterion 4: Status of Accepting Students

Point 4-①: Does the Program have a definite policy and criteria for admitting students? Does the University publicize those criteria?	P8
--	----

Criterion 8: **Facilities and Equipment**

Point: Does the University have facilities and equipment sufficient for educational and research activities of the Program, and suitable for providing the curriculums?	P16
---	-----

Criterion 9 **System for Quality Enhancement and Improvement of Education**

Point: Does the Program have an appropriate system to evaluate its implementation processes?	P17
--	-----

I O v e r v i e w

1. Notably exceptional aspects are as follows.

2. Aspects requiring improvement are as follows.

3. Other aspects for which future improvement is desirable are as follows.

II Evaluation by criteria

Criterion 1: Purpose of the Program

Point: Does the purpose of the Phoenix Leader Education Program (Hiroshima Initiative) for Renaissance from Radiation Disaster (hereafter “the Program”) comply with the purpose of the Leading Program in Doctoral Education, sponsored by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT): fostering leaders who have a broad perspective and creativity and who will be active in global academic, industrial, and governmental arenas?

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Criterion 1 is satisfied

Criterion 1 is mostly satisfied

Criterion 1 requires partial improvement

Criterion 1 requires major improvement

[Comments]

*Please provide any comments/opinions you may have.

Criterion 2: Implementation Structure

Point 2-①: Does the Program have guidance and student-support systems appropriate for achieving its purpose?

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Point 2- is satisfied

Point 2- is mostly satisfied

Point 2- requires partial improvement

Point 2- requires major improvement

Point 2-②: Does the Program have planning, operating, and partnership-building systems appropriate for achieving its purpose?

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Point 2- is satisfied

Point 2- is mostly satisfied

Point 2- requires partial improvement

Point 2- requires major improvement

Criterion 2: Implementation Structure

Overall evaluation

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Criterion 2 is satisfied

Criterion 2 is mostly satisfied

Criterion 2 requires partial improvement

Criterion 2 requires major improvement

[Comments]

*Please provide any comments/opinions you may have.

Criterion 3: Program Members and Education Supporters

Point 3-①: Does the Program have a clear policy to build an organization of faculty members? Does it clarify the responsibilities of respective members for education and research activities?

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Point 3- is satisfied

Point 3- is mostly satisfied

Point 3- requires partial improvement

Point 3- requires major improvement

Point 3-②: Does the Program have faculty members capable of achieving the purpose of the Program: to foster Phoenix Leaders, who will conduct interdisciplinary and integrated management of recovery programs in regions suffering from complex damage caused by radiation disasters?

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Point 3- is satisfied

Point 3- is mostly satisfied

Point 3- requires partial improvement

Point 3- requires major improvement

Criterion 3: Program Members and Education Supporters

Overall evaluation

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Criterion 3 is satisfied

Criterion 3 is mostly satisfied

Criterion 3 requires partial improvement

Criterion 3 requires major improvement

[Comments]

*Please provide any comments/opinions you may have.

Criterion 4: Status of Accepting Students

**Point 4-①: Does the Program have a definite policy and criteria for admitting students?
Does the University publicize those criteria?**

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Point 4- is satisfied

Point 4- is mostly satisfied

Point 4- requires partial improvement

Point 4- requires major improvement

**Point 4-②: Does the Program employ an appropriate system to select students according to
its admission policy? Does the system function well?**

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Point 4- is satisfied

Point 4- is mostly satisfied

Point 4- requires partial improvement

Point 4- requires major improvement

Point 4-③: Does the Program have a system to v

Criterion 4: Status of Accepting Students

Overall evaluation

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Criterion 4 is satisfied

Criterion 4 is mostly satisfied

Criterion 4 requires partial improvement

Criterion 4 requires major improvement

[Comments]

*Please provide any comments/opinions you may have.

Criterion 5: Contents and Means of Education

Point 5-①: Does the Program have systematic curriculums appropriate to fulfill its goal and suitable for granting academic degrees? Are subjects to be taught well arranged in line with the purpose of the Program?

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Point 5- is satisfied

Point 5- is mostly satisfied

Point 5- requires partial improvement

Point 5- requires major improvement

Point 5-②: Does the Program have means to guide students of diverse backgrounds to the goal of obtaining degrees? Does the Program have means to allow students to confirm their achievement levels?

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Point 5- is satisfied

Point 5- is mostly satisfied

Point 5- requires partial improvement

Point 5- requires major improvement

Point 5-3

Criterion 5: Contents and Means of Education

Overall evaluation

"

]Gxcnwcvkqp"Tguwnv_"Rngcug"rnceg"e j gem o ctm"kp"vjg"dqz"pgzv"vq"vjg"o quv"cr r tqr tkcvg"gxcnwcvkqp0"

" " "Etkvgtkqp"7"ku"ucvkuhkgf"

" " "Etkvgtkqp"7"ku"o quv{"ucvkuhkgf"

" " "Etkvgtkqp"7"tgswktgu"rctvkn"ko r tqxg o gpv"

" " "Etkvgtkqp"7"tgswktgu"o clqt"ko r tqxg o gpv"

"

"

]Eq o o gpvu_"

" " ,Rngcug"rtqxfg"cp{"eq o o gpvulqrpkpqp"q"oc{"jcxg0"

**Phoenix Leader Education Program (Hiroshima Initiative) for Renaissance from Radiation Disaster,
the 2011 Program for Leading Graduate Schools by MEXT**

Evaluation Report

Criterion 7: Student Support Systems

Overall evaluation

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Criterion 7 is satisfied

Criterion 7 is mostly satisfied

Criterion 7 requires partial improvement

Criterion 7 requires major improvement

[Comments]

*Please provide any comments/opinions you may have.

Criterion 8: Facilities and Equipment

Point: Does the University have facilities and equipment sufficient for educational and research activities of the Program, and suitable for providing the curriculums?

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Criterion 8 is satisfied

Criterion 8 is mostly satisfied

Criterion 8 requires partial improvement

Criterion 8 requires major improvement

[Comments]

*Please provide any comments/opinions you may have.

Criterion 9: System for Quality Enhancement and Improvement of Education

Point: Does the Program have an appropriate system to evaluate its implementation processes?

[Evaluation Result] Please place a checkmark in the box next to the most appropriate evaluation.

Criterion 9 is satisfied

Criterion 9 is mostly satisfied

Criterion 9 requires partial improvement

Criterion 9 requires major improvement

[Comments]

*Please provide any comments/opinions you may have.

